Evidence for two fluids in cuprate superconductors from a nuclear resonance study of $(Ca_xLa_{1-x})(Ba_{1.75-x}La_{0.25+x})Cu_3O_y$

Amit Keren, Amit Kanigel,* and Galina Bazalitsky *Technion–Israel Institue of Technology, 32000 Haifa, Israel* (Received 21 September 2006; published 27 November 2006)

We report charge density measurements, using NMR, in the superconducting compound $(Ca_xLa_{1-x})(Ba_{1.75-x}La_{0.25+x})Cu_3O_y$, which has two independent variables, x (family) and y (oxygen). For underdoped samples we find the rate at which holes are introduced into the plane upon oxygenation to be family independent. In contrast, not all carriers contribute to either antiferromagnetic or superconducting order parameters. This result is consistent with a two-fluid phenomenology or intrinsic mesoscopic inhomogeneities in the bulk. We also discuss the impact of weak chemical disorder on T_c .

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.74.172506

PACS number(s): 74.72.-h, 74.25.Ha, 74.25.Nf

Proper counting of holes in the cuprates is essential for understanding their properties. Since they have a small ratio of coherence length to mean free path, they are considered clean superconductors where the carrier density n is the same as the superconducting carrier density n_s in the zerotemperature limit.¹ In contrast, modern experiments in the superconducting part of the phase diagram consistently find strong inhomogeneities in these materials.^{2,3} Moreover, several theories of cuprate superconductivity are based on two fluids comprised of hole-poor and hole-rich regions,⁴ bosons and fermions,⁵ hot and cold electrons,⁶ etc. This contradiction leads to the question: Do all holes participate in the superconducting order parameter? Addressing this question requires a simultaneous measurement of n and n_s . The inplane ⁶³Cu(2) nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) parameter ν_0 is a direct measure of *n*. n_s can be extracted from the penetration depth. In this work we compare the two numbers for different superconducting families. We find that n_s is not a universal fraction of n, and provide a simple relation between n_s and y, from parent to overdoped samples. The data support two-fluid-based theories for the entire phase diagram, but constrain the possible division between the fluids. Our study is done on the $(Ca_xLa_{1-x})(Ba_{1.75-x}La_{0.25+x})Cu_3O_y$ (CLBLCO) compound where each value of x is a superconducting family with its own maximum T_c (T_c^{max}) ranging between 58 and 80 K, as shown in Fig. 1(a).⁷ By choosing a sample with a particular x and oxygen level y one can control n and n_s independently. This allows determination of the rates at which oxygenation produces carriers and carriers turn superconducting. We evaluate the average ν_0 from ⁶³Cu(2) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements. In passing, we estimate the chemical disorder from the width $\Delta \nu_O$ of the ν_O distribution. n_s is obtained from previous muon spin rotation (μ SR) measurements.²

The ability of NMR to determine carrier density is based on the fact that ⁶³Cu, with its spin-3/2 nuclei, is directly coupled to charge degrees of freedom via the electric field gradient (EFG), and ν_Q is a measure of this coupling. ν_Q , in turn, depends linearly on the hole density⁸ according to

$$\nu_Q = An + \nu_Q^0, \tag{1}$$

where A and ν_Q^0 are doping independent, but, in principle, could be family dependent. This linear dependence was dem-

onstrated for various compounds such as Y123,⁹ La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄,¹⁰ and HaBa₂CuO_{4+ δ}.¹¹ Therefore, Eq. (1) and the ability of NMR to detect the in-plane copper [Cu(2)] ν_Q selectively will allow us to determine the evolution of the in-plane carrier concentration and the width of its distribution.

The measurements were done on powder samples fully enriched with 63 Cu. Their preparation is described in Ref. 12. The oxygen content was measured by double iodometric titration. The accuracy of this method in the enriched CLBLCO is about 0.01. We measured between five and seven different samples for each *x* in the normal state at 100 K. The most overdoped sample is a nonsuperconducting x=0.1 compound. The NMR measurements were done by



FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) The superconducting part of the $(Ca_xLa_{1-x})(Ba_{1.75-x}La_{0.25+x})Cu_3O_y$ phase diagram (Ref. 12). (b) Normalized critical temperatures plotted as a function of $K(x)\Delta y$, including the glass T_g temperature, and corrected Néel temperature T_N^{cor} (see text) (Ref. 7). Inset: the normalized muon spin relaxation rates taken from Ref. 2 as a function of oxygen level y for the x =0.1 and 0.4 CLBLCO samples.



FIG. 2. (Color online) NMR spectra of ⁶³Cu at T=100 K in optimally doped CLBLCO samples with varying *x*. The inset shows the full spectrum of the x=0.4 compound including contributions from Cu(1) and Cu(2). The main figure zooms in on the Cu(2) contribution (note the three axis breakers). The position of the Cu(2) peaks are shown by dotted lines. The solid lines are theoretical fits (see text).

sweeping the field in a constant applied frequency $f_{app} = 77.95$ MHz, using a $\pi/2$ - π echo sequence. The echo signal was averaged 100 000 times and its area evaluated as a function of field. The full spectrum of the optimally doped x = 0.4 sample (y=7.156) is shown in the inset of Fig. 2.

The Cu spin Hamiltonian can be written as¹³

$$\mathcal{H}/h = -\nu_l \mathbf{I} \cdot (1 + \mathbf{K}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \frac{\nu_Q}{6} [3\mathbf{I}_z^2 - \mathbf{I}^2 + \eta(\mathbf{I}_x^2 - \mathbf{I}_y^2)], \quad (2)$$

where $\nu_l = ({}^{63}\gamma/2\pi)H$, $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$ is a unit vector in the direction of the field, **K** is the shift tensor, and η is the asymmetry parameter of the EFG. In the absence of magnetic field, there is only one transition frequency given by $f = \nu_0 \sqrt{1 + \eta/3}$, so ν_0 cannot be separated from η , and the use of the magnetic field is essential. This field, applied in the direction θ and ϕ with respect to the principal axis of the EFG, lifts this degeneracy, and three transition frequencies $\nu_m(H, \theta, \varphi)$ are expected: a center line that corresponds to the $1/2 \rightarrow -1/2$ transition (m=0), and two satellites that correspond to the $3/2 \rightarrow 1/2$ (m=1) and $-1/2 \rightarrow -3/2$ (m=-1) transitions. Expressions for $\nu_m(H, \theta, \varphi)$ up to second-order perturbation theory in ν_0 for completely asymmetric EFG and shift tensors are given in Ref. 14. In a powder spectrum, where θ and φ are integrated out, each one of the m=-1 and m=1 transitions contributes one peak, and the m=0 transition generates two peaks provided that $\eta < 1.^{14,15}$ In principle, the bigger ν_0 is, the further the peaks are away from each other. Similarly, the wider the peaks are, the broader the distribution of v_0 . In CLBLCO as in YBCO, the middle peak is from the Cu(1), which has $\eta \sim 1$. This peak is labeled 1 in the inset of Fig. 2. The other four peaks are associated with the plane Cu(2) and are labeled 2.

A zoom on the main features of the Cu(2) signal of all



FIG. 3. (Color online) NMR spectra of ${}^{63}Cu(2)$ at T=100 K in CLBLCO samples with x=0.4 and varying y. The positions of the peaks are shown by dotted lines.

four optimally doped samples is depicted in Fig. 2 (note the three axis breakers). The evolution of the main peaks as xincreases is highlighted by the dotted lines. It is clear that as x decreases the peaks move away from each other. This means that ν_0 at optimal doping is a decreasing function of x. A more interesting observation is the fact that there is no change in the width of the peaks, at least not one that can easily be spotted by the naked eye. This means that the distribution of ν_0 is x independent and that there is no difference in the weak chemical disorder (WCD) between the optimally doped samples of the different families. By WCD we mean disorder that is not strong enough to "wipe out" the contribution of the Cu(2) in its vicinity from the spectrum. Thus, WCD is not relevant to the variation of T_c^{max} between the different families. As we shall see, this conclusion is supported by more rigorous analysis.

Although we limit our conclusion to WCD, it is important to place a few limitations on the possible existence of strong chemical disorder. The difference in ionic size between La and Ba in the Ba layer creates local lattice distortions. These impact the apical oxygen O(4) position, which contributes to ν_q . However a simple calculation based on Eqs. (4) and (5) in Ref. 16 shown that the probability of finding an O(4) out of its ideal place increases with x. Therefore, if the O(4)displacement were important for chemical disorder we would have expected the x=0.4 family to have a lower T_c than the x=0.1, in contrast to observation. In addition, magic angle spinning (MAS) Ca NMR found only one line.¹⁷ This ensures the existence of only one Ca site. Also, since Ca and Ba have the same valence, and the total amount of La is fixed, doping is done only by the chain layer oxygen, which is relatively remote from the CuO₂ layer. Therefore, chemical disorder is expected to be minimal. Overall, we cannot find evidence for the existence or importance of strong chemical disorder.

The evolution of the main peaks for x=0.4 as a function of y is shown in Fig. 3. Here, as y increases the peaks move away from each other, i.e., v_Q increases as a function of doping as expected from Eq. (1). Similar data for the x =0.1 family can be found in Ref. 18. In order to analyze these data more precisely we must fit them to a field-swept powder spectrum P(H) which is given by

$$P_m(H) \propto \sum_m \int M^2 \delta[f_{app} - \nu_m(H, \theta, \varphi)] d\Omega$$
(3)

where M is a matrix element. Usually one can extract all the averaged Hamiltonian parameters from the position of the peaks in the spectra.¹⁵ However, we are interested in both the parameters and their distribution. Therefore, we must account for the entire line shape. For this purpose we simulate the line by using a grid in the (θ, ϕ) space, calculate the frequency $\nu_m(H, \theta, \varphi)$ for every *m*, field, and point on the grid, and add 1 to a histogram of H when one of the frequencies ν_m equals f_{app} . The matrix elements are taken as unity. This numerical simulation approximates P(H) in Eq. (3). To account for the peak widths we assume that the main contribution to this width, of the order of a few megahertz, is from a distribution in ν_0 , since the quadrupole interaction is the only interaction of such magnitude in the system. Consequently, we added to the numerical evaluation of Eq. (3) a loop over 200 values of ν_0 drawn from a normal distribution with a width $\Delta \nu_{O}$. We also added the contribution of the chain site, with $\eta \sim 1$. We searched for the best fit to the data by χ^2 minimization using a simplex code.¹⁹ The result for the optimal doped samples is shown as the solid line in Fig. 2.

The fit is not perfect, mostly because the spectrum is not symmetric. Such an asymmetric spectrum is a result of correlations between different *a priori* random parameters in the Hamiltonian.²⁰ For example, if the shift tensor *K* is also non-uniform in the sample but its values are correlated with the values of ν_Q , the spectrum could be nonsymmetric. Attempts to take this kind of effect into account failed due to the enormous increase in computing time. We continue the discussion based on the best fit we could practically achieve. For a discussion on the error bar evaluation see Ref. 18. We could not determine K_z , K_x , and K_y very accurately, and found very small η for all the samples, ranging from 0 to 0.1, in agreement with various estimates for Y123.²¹

The results for fitted ν_Q and $\Delta \nu_Q$ are shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), respectively. From Fig. 4(a) it is clear that ν_Q grows linearly with doping in the underdoped side of the phase diagram, in agreement with other compounds,⁹⁻¹¹ and Eq. (1). It is also clear that ν_Q^0 in this equation is *x* dependent, but this could be attributed to NQR baseline properties. In contrast, the behavior in the overdoped side of the *x*=0.1 is surprising since ν_Q saturates. As pointed out before in Ref. 18, the added holes on the overdoped side no longer go into the planes.

The most interesting finding is that within the experimental error, the slope of $\nu_Q(x,y)$ in the underdoped side is xindependent, as demonstrated by the parallel solid lines, and $\partial \nu_Q(x,y)/\partial y=7.2(1.4)$ MHz/oxygen. This means that the rate at which holes are introduced into the CuO₂ planes, $\partial n/\partial y$, is a constant independent of x or y in the underdoped region. Using further the ubiquitous assumption that the optimal hole density n^{opt} at optimal oxygenation y^{opt} is univer-



FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Nuclear quadrupole resonance frequncy of ${}^{63}Cu(2)$ in all the CLBLCO samples extracted from the NMR spectra as described in the text. (b) The width of the nuclear quadrupole resonance frequency distribution of ${}^{63}Cu(2)$ in all the CLBLCO samples extracted from the NMR spectra as described in the text.

sal, we conclude that n(x, y) is a function only of $\Delta y = y - y^{\text{opt}}$. This result was predicted previously¹⁶ based on bond valence calculations.

An implication of this conclusion is that the Presland *et al.* formula²² $T_c/T_c^{\text{max}} = 1 - 82.6(n - 0.16)^2$ could not be correct for CLBLCO. For example, the *x*=0.1 and 0.4 samples with $T_c=0$ have different Δy , and according to our experiment different *n*. However, the Presland formula predicts the same *n*.

If the conversion from oxygen to holes is universal, but different families begin to superconduct at different y values (see Fig. 1), it means that the rate at which holes turn into superconducting carriers is family dependent. To demonstrate this concept further we focus on the region of the CLBLCO phase diagram where T_c grows linearly with doping, as emphasized by the solid lines in Fig. 1(a). This behavior is unique to CLBLCO, which does not show a 1/8 dip or plateau in T_c . We determine n_s from μ SR measurement at $T \rightarrow 0$. The μ SR relaxation rate σ is a measure of the density of superconducting carriers, and $\sigma/\sigma^{\text{opt}} = n_s/n_s^{\text{opt}}$. In the inset of Fig. 1(b) we depict σ/σ^{opt} , taken from Ref. 2, as a function of y for two extreme families with x=0.1 and 0.4. In this particular region $\partial n_s / \partial y$ is a constant, which is family dependent and denoted hereafter by K(x). Using the universality of $\partial n/\partial y$ we find that $\partial n_s/\partial n = K(x)(\partial n/\partial y)^{-1}$, which varies between families. This, again, rules out the possibility that n_s = n in all CLBLCO samples.

In light of previous work a stronger conclusion could in fact be extended to the entire phase diagram of CLBLCO. In Fig. 1(b), taken from Ref. 7, we depict T_N^{cor} , T_g , and T_c , normalized by T_c^{max} of each family. T_N^{cor} is the Néel temperature after the contribution from anisotropies have been di-

vided out. The scaled variable $K(x)\Delta y$, with K = 0.77, 0.67, 0.54, 0.47, for x=0.1-0.4, respectively, collapsed the entire phase diagram into a single function. However, no interpretation was given for K(x). The present experiment suggests that $\partial n_s / \partial y = K(x)$ over the entire phase diagram, and that n_s should be considered as carriers participating in both superconducting and antiferromagnetic order parameters.

As for the linewidth, examination of Fig. 4(b) reassures us of our previous intuition that $\Delta \nu_Q$ is not changing between the different families, especially for the optimally doped samples. This becomes obvious when considering $\Delta \nu_Q$ of YBCO₇ at T=100 K, which also has $\nu_Q=31$ MHz, but $\Delta \nu_Q=0.5$ MHz.²³ The changes in $\Delta \nu_Q$ between x=0.4 and 0.1 are minute compared to the changes between x=0.1 and YBCO₇. Yet x=0.4 has a T_c^{max} very similar to that of YBCO₇. Thus, if YBCO is considered disorder-free, the difference in WCD between x=0.4 and 0.1 either does not exist or is not relevant. The same conclusion was reached by MAS Ca NMR.¹⁷

In summary, we find that the rate at which holes are doped

- *Current address: Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Chicago, IL 60607.
- ¹J. L. Tallon, J. R. Cooper, S. H. Naqib, and J. W. Loram, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 180504(R) (2006).
- ²A. Keren, A. Kanigel, J. S. Lord, and A. Amato, Solid State Commun. **126**, 39 (2003); A. Kanigel, A. Keren, A. Knizhnik, and O. Shafir, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 224511 (2005).
- ³J. M. Tranquada *et al.*, Nature (London) **375**, 561 (1995); Ch. Niedermayer, C. Bernhard, T. Blasius, A. Golnik, A. Moodenbaugh, and J. I. Budnick, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 3843 (1998); C. Howald, P. Fournier, and A. Kapitulnik, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 100504(R) (2001); S. H. Pan *et al.*, Nature (London) **413**, 282 (2001); M. Vershinin *et al.*, Science **303**, 1995 (2004).
- ⁴V. J. Emery and S. A. Kivelson, Physica C **209**, 597 (1993).
- ⁵E. Altman and A. Auerbach, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 104508 (2002); J. Ranninger, J. M. Robin, and M. Eschrig, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4027 (1995); R. Friedberg and T. D. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 6745 (1989).
- ⁶D. Pines, cond-mat/0404151 (unpublished).
- ⁷R. Ofer *et al.*, cond-mat/0606695 (unpublished).
- ⁸K. Asayama *et al.*, Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. **28**, 221 (1996).
- ⁹H. Yasuoka, in *Spectroscopy of Mott Insulator and Correlated Metals*, edited by A. Fujimori and Y. Tokura, Solid State Sciences Vol. 119 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995), p. 213.
- ¹⁰G.-q. Zheng et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64, 2524 (1995).

into the planes when oxygen is added, $\partial n / \partial y$, is identical in all families in the superconducting underdoped region. In part of this region, the rate at which holes contribute to the condensate $\partial n_s / \partial n \propto K(x)$ is a family-dependent constant. Based on this and previous findings, we conclude that in CLBLCO not all oxygens contribute holes to the superconducting and antiferromagnetic order parameters. We also show that weak chemical disorder, as determined from $\Delta \nu_0$, is not playing a role in the T_c^{max} variations. This reinforces previous conclusions that the changes in T_c^{max} between different CLBLCO families is caused only by variation in the in-plane coupling constant $J^{,7,24}$ Finally, since ν_a is not changing in the overdoped side as the oxygen level increases, on this side holes are not added to the planes. The open question in this study is which physical or chemical property of CLBLCO sets K(x).

This work was funded by the Israeli Science Foundation. We are grateful to Arkady Knizhnik for his help with the iodometric titration and to Assa Auerbach for helpful discussion.

- ¹¹A. A. Gippius *et al.*, Physica C **276**, 57 (1997).
- ¹²D. Goldschmidt, A. Knizhnik, Y. Direktovitch, G. M. Reisner, and Y. Eckstein, Phys. Rev. B 49, 15928 (1994).
- ¹³C. P. Slichter, *Principles of Magnetic Resonance* (Harper and Row, New York, 1963).
- ¹⁴J. F. Baugher, P. C. Taylor, T. Oja, and P. J. Bray, J. Chem. Phys. 50, 4914 (1969).
- ¹⁵A. Keren, P. Mendels, M. Horvalic, F. Ferrer, Y. J. Uemara, M. Melcata, and T. Asano, Phys. Rev. B 57, 10745 (1998).
- ¹⁶O. Chmaissem, Y. Eckstein, and C. G. Kuper, Phys. Rev. B 63, 174510 (2001).
- ¹⁷S. Marchand, Ph. D. thesis, Université Paris 6, Paris, 2005.
- ¹⁸A. Kanigel and A. Keren, Phys. Rev. B 74, 012505 (2006).
- ¹⁹W. H. Press *et al.*, *Numerical Recipes in C* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1988).
- ²⁰J. Haase, C. P. Slichter, R. Stern, C. T. Milling, and D. G. Hinks, J. Supercond. **13**, 723 (2000).
- ²¹C. H. Pennington, D. J. Durand, D. B. Zax, C. P. Slichter, J. P. Rice, and D. M. Ginsberg, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 7944 (1988); T. Shimizu *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **57**, 2494 (1988).
- ²²M. R. Preslan et al., Physica C 176, 95 (1991).
- ²³R. Ofer, S. Levy, A. Kanigel, and A. Keren, Phys. Rev. B 73, 012503 (2006).
- ²⁴A. Kanigel, A. Keren, Y. Eckstein, A. Knizhnik, J. S. Lord, and A. Amato, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 137003 (2002).