Impact of Perturbations on Frustrated Lattices

Oren Ofer and Amit Keren

O.O and A.K, J. Phys: Conden. Matter 19, 145270 (2006)
O.O and A.K., cond-mat/0610540 (2007)
O.O and A.K., cond-mat/0804.4781 (2008)
O.O and A.K, *in preparations*

Outline

- What is Geometrically Frustrated Magnet?
- Two lattices: the Kagome (ZnCu₃(OH)₆Cl₂) and the Pyrochlore (Y2Mo2O7/Tb2Ti2O7).
- 3 Possible perturbations.
- Experimental results.

Geometric Frustration: unitcell

The inability to minimize different energies corresponding to multiple interactions.



Extended Systems I: Kagome



Extended Systems II: Pyrochlore



Ground State Degeneracy

Classical n.n AF Heisenberg Hamiltonian,

 $\mathcal{H} = J \sum \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$

In corner sharing systems of *q* mutually interacting spins,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \frac{1}{2} J \sum_{\Delta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathbf{S}_{i} \right)^{2} - \frac{J}{2} \sum_{i} S_{i}^{2} \\ &\propto \frac{J}{2} \sum_{\Delta} \mathbf{L}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{L} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathbf{S}_{i}$.

$$q=4$$

 s_{3}
 s_{4}

q=3

Ground State Degeneracy

- Heisenberg Hamiltonian predicts, a macroscopic ground state degeneracy with no Long range order.
- Breaking the GS degeneracy Every perturbation will have a huge effect on the GS
- GFM ARE IDEAL TO GO BEYOND THE HEISENBREG MODEL.

Our aim is to find possible perturbations in various geometrically frustrated magnets.

Order from disorder

 A single quantum particle in a nonsymmetric double potential well.



At T=o both sides have the same energy.

At finite T, the particle will likely to be in R.

Villain *et al.*, Journal de Physique **41**, 1263 (1980).

Order from disorder in frustrated lattices



- The density of excited states is highest near a LRO configuration. The softer the fluctuations are, the larger phase space accessible to our particle.
- Thus it is probable that soft modes can cause the system to enter a LRO configuration from a thermal-disordered tendency.

Villain *et al.*, Journal de Physique **41**, 1263 (1980).



1. Dzyaloshinsky Moriya Interactions



Tôru Moriya, Phys. Rev. Lett. 4, 228 (1960)

• DMI bi-linear

$$\mathcal{H}_{DM}\,=\,\sum_{< i,j>} \mathbf{D}_{ij} \left(\, \mathbf{S}_i imes \mathbf{S}_j\,
ight)$$

DMI rules:

- Center of inversion at C, D=0.
- 2. Mirror plane $\perp AB$ through C, D $\parallel AB$ or D $\perp AB$.
- 3. Mirror plane in AB, $D \perp AB$.
- 4. 2-fold (180°) rotation axis \perp AB through C, D \perp axis.
- 5. n-fold (360°/n) rotation along AB, D||AB.

1. Dzyaloshinsky Moriya Interactions

• DMI bi-linear



2. Exchange Anisotropy

• J Anisotropy linear $~J
ightarrow {f J} = J_z + J_\perp$

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{} J_z S^z_i S^z_j + J_\perp S^\perp_i S^\perp_j$$

 $J_{z} \neq J_{\perp}$



• In mean-field this yields a dramatic change in the susceptibility,

$$\chi_{\perp,z}^{-1} = \frac{3k_B}{(g\mu_B)^2 S(S+1)} (T + \theta_{\perp,z})$$

• Suprisingly, Could also induce FM with average moment,

$$\left\langle \mathbf{M} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{H}} \right\rangle = \frac{\mu_B}{6} (1 + 2\cos\varphi)$$





Tanaka and Myashita, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **19**, 145256 (2007)



$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J_z S_i^z S_j^z + J_\perp S_i^\perp S_j^\perp + \mathbf{D}_{ij} \left(\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_j \right) + \frac{1}{3k} \left(\frac{dJ}{dr} \right)^2 \sum_{i>j} \left(\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \right)^2$$

Our aim is to find experimental indications to J_z and J_{\perp} , or \mathbf{D}_{ij} , or dJ/dr.

Experimental Fingerprint



Cooperative Paramagnet Tb2Ti2O7

Tb³⁺ ions creates magnetic pyrochlore.
Doesn't exhibits spin freezing down to 50mK.

The Hamiltonian is probably pure Heisenberg, might be considered as a magnetic analogue of He.

$$\theta_{CW} \approx -20 \mathrm{K} \to f > 400.$$

We will return to this compound later on.

Herbertsmithite

Structurally perfect quantum S= 1/2 Kagome :

- 1. Cu^{+2} atoms carrying S= $\frac{1}{2}$ mediated through super-exchange (OH)^{-.}
- 2. Zn atoms sepa

no 'Order from disorder' for T>2K!!!

3. XRD reveal percer ragone strottore, best moder demoted

100% Cu in the kagome, 100% Zn in the interlayer.







f > 157

- We measure rotation frequency and relaxation of the muon spin.
- The frequency shift is a result of the sample magnetization.
- The TF relaxation is the result of static field inhomogeneties.



• K_{μ} calibration: 0.20 K and χ exhibit linear relation, thus $M_{0.10}^{0.15}$ allowing calibration.



- K measured (hence χ) down to 6omK.
- Saturation of χ at T~200mK.
- As with the susceptibility, µSR does not detect spin freezing.



T₂* Interpretation



Additional relaxation mechanism.

Absence of Lattice deformation:



- CI line shape broadens as T decreases.
- ${}^{35,37}CIT_1$ increases down to *T*~50K and slowly decreases.



 Spin lattice relaxation can be interpreted as a Bosonic excitation,

$$\left(T_{1}^{}\right)^{-1} = A\gamma^{2}\int_{\Delta}^{\infty} \rho^{2}(E) \cdot n(E) \cdot [n(E) + 1]dE.$$

T. Imai *et al.*, PRL **100**, 077203 (2008)

- Several theoretical work suggest,
 - 1. DMI causes the canting of the spins.
 - 2. 3.7% Weakly interacting impurities.
 - 3. Exchange anisotropy.

```
However, none could fully describe the \chi behavior.
```

Rigol and Singh, PRB **76**, 184403 (2007). Rigol and Singh, PRL **98**, 207204 (2007). Misguich and Sindzingre, Eur. Phys. J. B **59** 305, (2007). Chern and Tsukamoto, arXiv:cond-mat/0710.1334 (2008).



 \approx



Recalling, $\chi_{\perp,z}^{-1} = \frac{3\kappa_B}{(g\mu_B)^2 S(S+1)} (T+\theta_{\perp,z})$. Therefore, high-*T* high-*H* fitting would yield $J_z = k_B \theta_z$ $J_z > J_\perp$

However, the experimental picture calls for additional

g-factor anisotropy.





Classical AFM with J-anisotropy on the kagome, develops FM order



J-anisotropy explains high *T* extreme low *T*, misses at intermediate *T* Tanaka and Miyashita, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **19**, 145256 (2007) Tovar *et al*., arXiv:cond-mat/0809.0031 (2008)

Herbertsmithite: Summary

- Herbertsmithite doesn't freeze, spins still active at 6omK.
- Saturation of χ, indicating no phase transition or singlet formation.
- No sign of lattice deformation.
- Negligible gap to excitations.
- χ and *g* anisotropy. Mean-field suggests $J_z > J_{\perp}$.
- Behavior suggest Exchange anisotropy and DMI.



Lattice distortions

Lattice deformations were absent in the kagome Herbertsmithite, $\begin{bmatrix} 0.6 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \end{bmatrix}$ were found in the pyrochlore $Y_{2}Mo_{2}O_{7}$.

> Out to look for additional confirmation from NMR

E.S. O.O and A.K., PRL **94**, 237202 (2005).



6 8

ZnCu₃(OH)₆Cl₂

12

14

16

18

10

 $\chi [10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{3}/\text{mol-Cu}]$

0.9

0.8

0.1 0.0

2

0

Spin Glass Pyrochlore Y2Mo2O7

- Mo⁴⁺ (S=1) forming a pyrochlore.
- Remarkable SG characteristics, with $~T_{g}~pprox 20{
 m K}.$
- Data indicate high frustration,

$$\theta_{CW} \approx -200 \mathrm{K} \rightarrow f = 10.$$

Freezing is surprising,



This should not happen in a pure Heisenberg model.

Spin Glass Pyrochlore Y2Mo2O7 Y NMR

⁸⁷Y NMR line shape vs T.



Spin Glass Pyrochlore Y2Mo2O7 Powder Average NMR

The NMR line powder-average,



Thus, for each T, it is possible to extract K.



Spin Glass Pyrochlore Y2Mo2O7 Y NMR

• K is not linear with χ indicating lattice deformation.





7.3

7.2

7.4

7.5

7.6

7.7

Η

7.8

7.9

8.0 8.1

Spin Glass Pyrochlore Y2Mo2O7 Field dependent X-ray diffraction

 High resolution x-ray powder diffraction reveal a single phase for any T.





Spin Glass Pyrochlore Y2Mo2O7 Data frustration

 Y₂Mo₂O₇: Unexpected freezing, X-ray data conflicts µSR,NMR data

We return to our simplest example, $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$ and confirm that indeed it doesn't experience lattice deformation.

Peculiar Low-T susceptibility.

Cooperative Paramagnet Tb2Ti2O7 μSR



Pyrochlores Y2Mo2O7, Tb2Ti2O7: Summary

Y2M02O7:

- Spin-glass transition at T_q =22K.
- Formation of 2 (3?) Y inequivalent sites as $T \rightarrow T_g$ suggesting a magneto-elastic deformation.

Tb2Ti2O7:

- Cooperative Paramagnetism (no spin freezing) at T>60mK.
- No μSR indication for lattice deformation.



- Geometrically Frustrated Magnets posses an exotic playground where interactions beyond Heisenberg can be examined.
- There are more experimental dilemmas than answers.