Relation between cuprate superconductivity and magnetism: A Raman study of (CaLa)₁(BaLa)₂Cu₃O_y

Dirk Wulferding,^{1,2,3} Meni Shay,^{4,5} Gil Drachuck,⁴ Rinat Ofer,⁴ Galina Bazalitsky,⁴ Zaher Salman,⁶

Peter Lemmens,³ and Amit Keren⁴

¹Center for Artificial Low Dimensional Electronic Systems, Institute for Basic Science, 77 Cheongam-Ro, Nam-Gu, Pohang 790-784, Korea

²Department of Physics, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 790-784, Korea

³Institute for Condensed Matter Physics, Technical University of Braunschweig, D-38106 Braunschweig, Germany

⁴Department of Physics, Technion–Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel

⁵Department of Physics and Optical Engineering, Ort Braude College, 21982 Karmiel, Israel

⁶Laboratory for Muon Spectroscopy, Paul Scherrer Institute, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

(Received 7 March 2014; revised manuscript received 17 August 2014; published 17 September 2014)

We present an investigation of charge-compensated antiferromagnetic $(Ca_xLa_{1-x})(Ba_{1.75-x}La_{0.25+x})Cu_3O_y$ single crystals using Raman scattering as well as muon spin rotation. In this system the parameter x controls the Cu-O-Cu superexchange interaction via bond distances and buckling angles. The oxygen content y controls the charge doping. In the absence of doping the two-magnon peak position is directly proportional to the superexchange strength J. We find that both x and y affect the peak position considerably. The Néel temperature determined from muon spin rotation on the same samples independently confirms the strong dependence of the magnetic interaction on x and y. We find a considerable increase in the maximum superconducting transition temperature T_c^{max} with J. This is strong evidence of the importance of orbital overlap to superconductivity in this family of cuprates.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.104511

PACS number(s): 74.25.Kc, 74.20.-z, 74.25.Ha, 74.72.Cj

I. INTRODUCTION

The complexity of high-temperature superconductors (HTSC), with several parameters controlling their properties, hinders progress in uncovering their superconducting mechanism. It is extremely difficult to isolate and control only one parameter at a time. To overcome the experimental challenge in the study of HTSC, we prepare and investigate single-crystalline samples of the $(Ca_xLa_{1-x})(Ba_{1.75-x}La_{0.25+x})Cu_3O_y$ (CLBLCO) system, with x = 0.1, 0.2, and 0.4. This system is isostructural to YBa₂Cu₃O_{7-v} (YBCO) and supplies us with two tuning parameters: the amount of oxygen y and the ratio of calcium to barium set by x. Each x defines a superconducting family. Calcium and barium have the same valence, and their total amount in the chemical formula is constant. Therefore, x does not serve as a formal dopant. However, x tunes the Cu-O-Cu bond distances and buckling angles, leading to variations in the overlap of orbitals [1]. This property of CLBLCO opens a window to investigate the relation between T_c and the physical parameters that are determined by orbital overlap.

Phase diagrams of CLBLCO with different x are shown in Fig. 1(a). They summarize muon spin rotation (μ SR) [2,3] and transport [4] experiments performed on powder samples. It can be seen that the transition temperatures to the antiferromagnetic, spin-glass, and superconducting phases (T_N , T_g , and T_c , respectively) are strongly x dependent. In particular, the superconducting transition temperature of the optimally doped samples T_c^{max} increases by more than 30% from the x =0.1 family to the x = 0.4 family. However, high-resolution powder x-ray diffraction [5] and NMR experiments [6] indicate that x = 0.1 samples are more ordered than x = 0.4 ones. Therefore, disorder cannot be responsible for the reduction of T_c^{\max} as x decreases; there has to be a more fundamental reason for these variations of T_c^{\max} .

Figure 1(b) depicts a rescaled phase diagram for CLBLCO. We obtain this unified diagram by replacing T_N with the superexchange strength J, normalizing the temperature scales by T_c^{max} [3], and transforming the oxygen composition parameter y according to $\Delta n_{p\sigma} = \kappa(x)(y - y_N)$. [7] The scaling parameter $\kappa(x)$ translates to the doping efficiency with which holes are introduced in the CuO2 planes and was determined via measurements of the ¹⁷O nuclear quadrupole resonance parameter v_Q [7]. y_N is the oxygen composition where T_N starts to decrease, as shown in Fig. 1(b), and $\Delta n_{p\sigma}$ stands for the effective hole variation on the oxygen orbital. The unified phase diagram suggests a similar origin for the magnetic and superconducting phase transitions. The superexchange J is determined by orbital overlap and is closely related to the hopping rate t. While J is well defined for only the parent compounds, hopping exists even in the doped system. A close relation between T_c and J would therefore imply that superconductivity in this family of cuprates is dominantly driven by kinetic energy [8].

However, the values for J were determined by μ SR. This method requires measurements over a wide range of temperatures in which J could change due to lattice expansion. Furthermore, the theory that extracts J from the data is rather involved and can only fit the data to a certain extent [3]. The work presented here overcomes these challenges by using two-magnon Raman scattering experiments. This technique determines J directly by measuring the shift in photon energy E_{max} between incoming and outgoing light due to a spin-flip process of two adjacent spins. Our measurements of the Raman shift as a function of both x and y reveal a strong correlation between T_c^{max} and J in CLBLCO.



FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Phase diagram of CLBLCO for the four families x = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4. T_N, T_g (open symbols) and T_c are plotted as a function of oxygen composition y. The long arrows point to the y values where T_N starts to drop, which defines y_N . The samples $\alpha = (0.1, 6.4), \beta = (0.4, 6.4), \gamma = (0.1, 6.75), \delta = (0.4, 6.73), and <math>\varepsilon = (0.2, 6.64)$ are marked by their (x, y) values. (b) J and the critical temperatures normalized by T_c^{max} as a function of doping variation $\Delta n_{p\sigma}$. $\alpha - \varepsilon$ indicate the positions of the five samples in the phase diagram.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Several single crystals of (Ca_xLa_{1-x}) $(Ba_{1.75-x}La_{0.25+x})Cu_3O_y$ with varying y for both the x = 0.1 and x = 0.4 families, as well as one single crystal of the x = 0.2 family, were successfully grown in a floating zone furnace [9]. In order to control the amount of oxygen in the samples we followed the procedure known for powder samples of this material [4]. The oxygen composition of the samples has been determined by iodometric titration [9].

For the Raman measurements the crystals were cleaved to obtain a shiny, flat, virgin surface. The cleaving produces facets which are perpendicular to the *c* axis. Therefore, the light scatters with its polarization within the *ab* plane for all measurements. Polarized Raman spectra were obtained using a Jobin-Yvon micro-Raman spectrometer (LabRAM HR) in backscattering geometry with a $\lambda = 532$ nm solid-state Nd:YAG laser, a 50× magnification objective, and a diffraction



FIG. 2. (Color online) The two-magnon mode for samples α - δ , measured at T = 20 K.

grating with 1800 grooves/mm. The detection was done with a nitrogen-cooled CCD (Horiba Spectrum One). To prevent damage to the sample from overheating the laser power at the sample was kept below 1 mW.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The CLBLCO system crystallizes in a simple tetragonal structure (space group P4/mmm). Five Raman-active phonon modes are expected from backscattering within the *ab* plane. Four of these phonon modes are of A_{1g} symmetry, where the scattered light has the same polarization as the incident light [9]. One phonon mode has a B_{1g} symmetry, where the polarization of the scattered light $\vec{e_s}$ is perpendicular to the incident light polarization $\vec{e_i}$ and the phonon intensity reaches a maximum when both $\vec{e_i}$ and $\vec{e_s}$ are at 45° from the *a* and *b* axes. This intensity dependence allows for an easy orientation of the crystallographic *ab* plane.

In Fig. 2 we depict raw two-magnon Raman scattering data for four samples marked α , β , γ , δ . The position of these samples in the phase diagram is indicated in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). Samples α and γ are part of the x = 0.1 family, while samples β and δ belong to the x = 0.4 family. In the unified phase diagram [Fig. 1(b)], it can be seen that samples β and γ are comparable with respect to doping at $\Delta n_{\rho\sigma} \approx 0$, while sample α is in the highly underdoped region and sample δ is on the verge of the spin-glass phase.

The data in Fig. 2 are taken at T = 20 K, deep in the magnetically ordered phase. Peaks with energies below 1500 cm⁻¹ are due to phonon and multiphonon scattering. The arrows in Fig. 2 indicate the peaks' respective maxima. A clear shift in the two-magnon peak energy is observed for the different samples. For both families the peak shifts to lower energies as y increases, in accordance with a previous Raman study [10]. As y approaches the spin-glass phase, the two-magnon Raman signal dramatically decreases in intensity and vanishes in the spin-glass phase. At equal y, the x = 0.4sample has a higher two-magnon mode energy than the x = 0.1sample. The principle observation is that samples β and γ , with the same effective doping $\Delta n_{\rho\sigma}$ but varying x, exhibit a huge difference in the two-magnon energy, with β having the largest energy.



FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Temperature dependence of the twomagnon mode in (x'y') polarization for the x = 0.1 sample. (b) Polarization dependence of the two-magnon mode measured at T = 300 K.

In order to verify that the broad peak around 2500 cm⁻¹ is indeed related to a two-magnon scattering process we measure its intensity as a function of temperature. The results for x =0.1, y = 6.4 are shown in Fig. 3(a). It is evident that the intensity of the peak drops above $T_N = 376$ K. However, some remaining intensity of the two-magnon scattering is observed even at 400 K due to its local scattering nature. Moreover, as the temperature increases, the peak shifts to lower energies, revealing the temperature dependence of E_{max} . At $T \simeq T_N$ there is a high probability that neighbors of the spin-flipping pair are excited. This will reduce the energy cost in the flipping process [11].

Figure 3(b) shows the Raman spectra at four different polarizations. Here, we use the following notations: $(xx) \triangleq \vec{e_i}$ and $\vec{e_s} \parallel a$ axis, $(x'x') \triangleq \vec{e_i}$ and $\vec{e_s} \angle 45^\circ a$ axis, $(x'y') \triangleq \vec{e_i} \perp \vec{e_s} \angle 45^\circ b$ axis, and $(xy) \triangleq \vec{e_i} \perp \vec{e_s} \parallel b$ axis. It is found that the broad peak appears only in the B_{1g} configuration, i.e., in (xx) and (x'y') polarization, as expected from two-magnon Raman scattering in a square lattice [12,13].



FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Comparison of the B_{1g} phonon mode of samples α and β . The atomic displacement pattern for this phonon mode is indicated in the inset; the red and blue spheres correspond to oxygen and copper, respectively. (b) Full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the B_{1g} phonon mode at 300 cm⁻¹ for both x = 0.1 and 0.4 families as a function of oxygen composition y. The x = 0.2sample is indicated as well. The lines are guides to the eyes.

In Fig. 4(a) we plot the low-energy part of the Raman spectra for samples α and β , focusing on the B_{1g} phonon mode. The atomic displacement for this mode corresponds to an out-of-plane motion of oxygen ions in the CuO₂ plane at $\approx 300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. This motion is sketched in the inset of Fig. 4(a). We note two important observations regarding the phonons: (i) the x = 0.1 sample has a slightly higher phonon frequency, and (ii) the family with x = 0.1 has a smaller linewidth than x = 0.4 or x = 0.2, which is also indicated. Both trends are independent of doping. In particular, the *y* dependence of the linewidth can be seen in Fig. 4(b).

Observation (i) supports the notion that the $\approx 300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ phonon does not play a dominating role in the superconducting mechanism for CLBLCO since a higher phonon frequency should lead to a higher T_c . The respective linewidth is a measure of the crystal quality and the electron-phonon coupling strength. It is inversely proportional to the phonon life time. Thus, observation (ii) suggests that as x decreases, the coherence does not change or even increases. This is in agreement with the x-ray diffraction and NMR measurements of CLBLCO and reinforces the conclusion that the crystal quality is not responsible for the variation in T_c^{max} .

Two of the samples that were used in the Raman measurements were subsequently oxygenated close to optimum doping at y = 7.12. Their magnetization curves, obtained



FIG. 5. (Color online) (a) Magnetization as function of temperature for optimally doped samples of x = 0.1 and x = 0.4. (b) Néel temperature determined for samples α , β , and γ as indicated in the phase diagram using μ SR.

from a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer, are shown in Fig. 5(a). A clear transition from the normal state to the superconducting state can be seen, with T_c of the x = 0.4 sample being much higher than that of the x = 0.1 sample. As single crystals that are oxygenated to the exact optimum doping are difficult to achieve, we will use the values for T_c^{max} obtained from the phase diagram in Fig. 1(a) in the following.

Since the oxygenation of crystals is slow and Raman scattering is surface sensitive, it is also important to check the antiferromagnetic part of the phase diagram. Thus, we determine T_N for the samples that participated in the Raman experiments using zero-field μ SR. In these experiments we follow the angular rotation frequency ω of the spin of a muon implanted in the sample as a function of temperature. The measurements were performed at the General Purpose Surface-Muon Instrument at the Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI). In Fig. 5(b) we depict the temperature-dependent muon rotation frequency ω for samples α , β , and γ . The Néel temperature is defined as the point where $\omega \rightarrow 0$ upon warming. We find that the Néel temperatures of the crystals agree with the phase diagram in Fig. 1(a). In particular, as the oxygen composition increases (samples α and γ), T_N decreases. At constant y (samples α and β) T_N increases with x. Most importantly, at constant effective doping (samples γ and β) T_N increases from 376 K at x = 0.1 to 420 K at x = 0.4.

The mechanism for a two-magnon Raman scattering process in an undoped sample corresponds to a simultaneous exchange of two neighboring spins, induced by the incoming photons. As a result, the magnetic coupling of the exchanged spins to their neighboring spins will be broken, and photons with reduced energy will be emitted. Hence, the photon energy shift E_{max} is related to J. A simple broken-bond counting argument for spin 1/2 on a two-dimensional square lattice indicates that the Raman shift peaks at 3J. A more detailed calculation indicates that the exact factor between the Raman peak and J ranges from 2.71, [14] via 3.32 [15], to 3.38 [16].



FIG. 6. (Color online) (a) Doping dependence of the twomagnon mode energy E_{max} for the families x = 0.1 (black) and x = 0.4 (red). Samples $\alpha - \delta$, as well as sample ε from the x = 0.2 family, are indicated. The green arrow denotes the crossing regime. (b) E_{max} measured for the $\Delta n_{\rho\sigma} \simeq 0$ samples γ , ε , and β as a function of T_c^{max} obtained from the phase diagram in Fig. 1(a) for the optimally doped samples of the x = 0.1, x = 0.2, and x = 0.4 families. The solid line is a linear fit through the data points; the dashed line denotes a proportionality behavior between T_c^{max} and J.

For the purpose of the work presented here it is sufficient to assume that the ratio between the Raman shift and J is close to 3 and constant for all CLBLCO compounds. In a doped sample the number of broken bonds in the scattering process is smaller, and E_{max} is expected to decrease with doping.

In Fig. 6(a) we compare the Raman shift E_{max} as a function of oxygen composition y for the two families. The data points corresponding to samples $\alpha - \delta$ are marked accordingly. Sample ε of the x = 0.2 family is also indicated. For low y values, the x = 0.4 samples have higher two-magnon mode energies than the x = 0.1 samples. This result indicates that J is larger for the family with higher T_c^{max} . In both cases a clear and steady decrease is observed as a function of y. Around y = 6.8 there is a crossing point that suggests that E_{max} for x = 0.4 becomes smaller than that for x = 0.1. The same trend can be seen for T_N in the phase diagram in Fig. 1(a), but the crossing is taking place at a different y. Here we note that the two-magnon mode energy E_{max} and T_N are not directly related; however, a similar trend is to be expected. The fact that E_{max} shows a different doping dependence for the two families is another indication that the efficiency of doping holes in the CuO₂ planes is not the same for the two families. The strong doping dependence of E_{max} and the crossing point shown in Fig. 6 emphasize the importance of comparing samples with the same effective doping $\Delta n_{p\sigma}$.

Figure 6(b) summarizes the main finding of this work. In this figure we plot the Raman shift E_{max} obtained at T = 20 K for the x = 0.1 family (black), the x = 0.2 family (blue), and the x = 0.4 family (red) at $\Delta n_{p\sigma} \approx 0$ versus T_c^{max} obtained from the phase diagram in Fig. 1(a). The solid line is a linear fit through the data. A dashed proportionality line through the origin is also shown. Although single crystals from only three families are available for this plot, there is clear evidence for a strong correlation between the magnetic exchange interaction $J \simeq E_{\text{max}}/3$, measured by two-magnon Raman scattering, and the superconducting temperature T_c^{max} , which is close to proportionality.

In a recent Raman study on $R(Ba,Sr)_2Cu_3O_y$ [R = (La, ..., Lu, Y)], it was found that T_c^{max} anticorrelates with J set by internal pressure and correlates with J induced by external pressure [17]. The internal pressure experiment is in strong contrast to our findings. We believe that the impact of disorder on T_c is at the heart of this contradiction. Clarifying this contradiction, which stems from the same experimental

method, is essential for understanding the relevant mechanisms for cuprate superconductivity.

IV. SUMMARY

We report evidence for a strong correlation between the superconducting temperature T_c^{\max} and the magnetic exchange interaction J in the cuprate system $(Ca_xLa_{1-x})(Ba_{1.75-x}La_{0.25+x})Cu_3O_y$, confirmed by two independent, complementary techniques. The two-magnon Raman scattering technique measures J directly but is limited to the antiferromagnetic phase and to single crystals. The analysis of the μ SR technique requires theoretical modeling to extract J, but it is applicable from the antiferromagnet phase through the spin-glass phase and up to the mixed spin-glass superconducting phase.

The exchange interaction J is determined by overlaps of orbitals on neighboring sites. The larger the overlap is, the easier it is for holes to hop from site to site. Thus, in the x = 0.4 family the overlap of orbitals is larger than in x = 0.1. This finding is supported by recent angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy measurements [18].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the German-Israeli Foundation (GIF, 1171-189.14/2011) and the joint German-Israeli DIP Project (YE 120/1-1 LE 315/25-1). The authors wish to thank the PSI staff for support with the μ SR experiments and K. S. Kim for helpful discussions.

- R. Ofer, A. Keren, O. Chmaissem, and A. Amato, Phys. Rev. B 78, 140508(R) (2008).
- [2] A. Kanigel, A. Keren, Y. Eckstein, A. Knizhnik, J. S. Lord, and A. Amato, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 137003 (2002).
- [3] R. Ofer, G. Bazalitsky, A. Kanigel, A. Keren, A. Auerbach, J. S. Lord, and A. Amato, Phys. Rev. B 74, 220508(R) (2006).
- [4] A. Knizhnik, Y. Direktovich, G. M. Reisner, D. Goldschmidt, C. G. Kuper, and Y. Eckstein, Physica C (Amsterdam, Neth.) 321, 199 (1999).
- [5] S. Agrestini, S. Sanna, K. Zheng, R. De Renzi, E. Pusceddu, G. Concas, N. L. Saini, and A. Bianconi, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 75, 259 (2014).
- [6] A. Keren, New J. Phys. 11, 065006 (2009); T. Cvitanić, D. Pelc,
 M. Požek, E. Amit, and A. Keren, Phys. Rev. B 90, 054508 (2014).
- [7] E. Amit and A. Keren, Phys. Rev. B 82, 172509 (2010).
- [8] See, e.g., H. J. A. Molegraaf, C. Presura, D. van der Marel, P. H. Kes, and M. Li, Science 295, 2239 (2002).

- [9] G. Drachuck, M. Shay, G. Bazalitsky, R. Ofer, Z. Salman, A. Amato, C. Niedermayer, D. Wulferding, P. Lemmens, and A. Keren, J. Supercond. Novel Magn. 25, 2331 (2012).
- [10] S. Sugai, H. Suzuki, Y. Takayanagi, T. Hosokawa, and N. Hayamizu, Phys. Rev. B 68, 184504 (2003).
- [11] M. Bloch, J. Appl. Phys. 34, 1151 (1963); S. R. Chinn, R. W.
 Davies, and H. J. Zeiger, Phys. Rev. B 4, 4017 (1971).
- [12] P. J. Freitas and R. R. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. B 62, 5525 (2000).
- [13] P. A. Fleury and R. Loudon, Phys. Rev. **166**, 514 (1968).
- [14] W. H. Weber and G. W. Ford, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 6890 (1989).
- [15] A. V. Chubukov and D. M. Frenkel, Phys. Rev. B 52, 9760 (1995).
- [16] C. M. Canali and S. M. Girvin, Phys. Rev. B 45, 7127 (1992).
- [17] B. P. P. Mallett, T. Wolf, E. Gilioli, F. Licci, G. V. M. Williams, A. B. Kaiser, N. W. Ashcroft, N. Suresh, and J. L. Tallon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 237001 (2013).
- [18] G. Drachuck, E. Razzoli, R. Ofer, G. Bazalitsky, R. S. Dhaka, A. Kanigel, M. Shi, and A. Keren, Phys. Rev. B 89, 121119(R) (2014).